

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,271	02/08/2001	Alan J. McPherson	3054-027	4117	
22440	7590 02/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC			MEI, XU		
270 MADISON AVENUE 8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 100160601			2644		
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/779,271	MCPHERSON ET	AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Xu Mei	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic speriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preserved by the office dater than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. a reply within the statutory minimum of oreirod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co				
Status	·						
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 February 2001.					
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
3)							
-,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	Stage			
Attachmer		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date	·/ ·	of Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the conversion process" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said converter" in line 6.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said controls" in line 7.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said program" in line 9.

It is unclear which 'program' is this limitation referring to in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the medium" in lines 10-11.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 1 and 4 recites the limitation "can be played".

There is not a positive limitation.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said parameter" in lines 89. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation
in the claim. It appears 'said parameter' should be --said
selectable parameter--.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "a sensor adapted to determine said parameter and to generate a coefficient corresponding to said parameter" is considered indefinite because there is no functional connection between "the coefficient generated from the parameter" and the other limitation(s) in the claim. It's therefore unclear what "the coefficient generated from the parameter" is being used for in the claim.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "said multichannel" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "generate a coefficient corresponding to said selectable parameter" is considered indefinite because there is no functional connection between "the coefficient generated from the parameter" and the other limitation(s) in the claim. It's therefore unclear what "the coefficient generated from the selectable parameter" is being used for in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "said operational parameter" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "certain target characteristics" is considered indefinite because it's unclear "the certain target characteristics" is as claimed.

Claims 1-16 are too indefinite to examine against art at this time and will be treat on the merit upon correction of all 112 problems as indicated above.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xu Mei whose telephone number is 571-272-7523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Xu Mei

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2644 02/10/2006